

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

BOGDAN LIZAK,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 08 C 1930
)	
v.)	Judge Coar
)	
GREAT MASONRY, INC., and)	Magistrate Judge Nolan
KRZYSZTOF MENDYS,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff Bogdan Lizak, by and through his attorneys, Dowd, Bloch and Bennett, as his first amended complaint against Defendants Great Masonry, Inc., and Krzysztof Mendys (together, “Defendants”), states as follows:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This civil action seeks damages and other relief for Defendants’ violations of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq.* (“FLSA”); the Illinois Minimum Wage Law, § 820 ILCS 105/1, *et seq.* (“IMWL”); the Illinois Employee Classification Act, § 820 ILCS 185/1, *et seq.* (“IECA”); and the common law tort of retaliatory discharge.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The Court has jurisdiction over Counts I, II, and III pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), and 28 U.S. § 1331; and over Counts IV, V, VI, and VII pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.

3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because all defendants reside in this district and because a substantial part or all of the events giving rise to plaintiff's claims occurred within this district.

PARTIES

4. From at least January 2005 through January 2008, Plaintiff Bogdan Lizak ("Lizak") worked for Defendant Great Masonry, Inc. ("Company"), performing masonry construction, and at all relevant times was an "employee" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(e); the IMWL, § 820 ILCS 105/3(d); and the IECA, § 820 ILCS 185/10.

5. The Company is and at all relevant times has been an Illinois corporation in the masonry construction industry engaged in interstate commerce and doing business within this district, and an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d), the IMWL, § 820 ILCS 105/3(c), and the IECA, § 820 ILCS 185/5.

6. Defendant Krzysztof Mendys ("Mendys") resides in this district, and is the principal officer and, on information and belief, the sole or majority shareholder of the Company, substantially controls the terms and conditions of employment of the Company's employees, and exercises supervisory authority over the Company's hiring, its payment or non-payment of wages, and all other matters relating to the compensation and method of payment of wages, and has done so and had such status at all relevant times. By acting directly in the interest of the Company, Mendys is responsible in whole or in part for the violations alleged, and therefore is an "employer" within the meaning of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and the IMWL, § 820 ILCS 105/3(c).

FACTS

7. During Lizak's employment with the Defendants, he performed masonry services for which the Defendants paid him on an hourly basis.

8. The Defendants regularly required Lizak to work more than 40 hours a week.

9. When Lizak worked more than 40 hours a week, the Defendants did not pay him overtime premiums for hours worked in excess of 40 but rather paid him for that work at a straight time hourly rate.

10. The Defendants failed and refused to pay Lizak any wages for work he performed from approximately January 13, 2008 through approximately January 18, 2008.

11. In late January, 2008, the Defendants discharged Lizak in retaliation for his complaining about and refusing to accept their failure to pay him and other employees for work performed.

COUNT I

**FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME PREMIUMS IN
VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

12. Lizak incorporates by reference the allegations of ¶¶ 1-9 as though set forth in their entirety.

13. Section 207(a)(1) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1), provides that no employer shall employ any employees for a workweek longer than 40 hours unless such

employee receives compensation for his employment in excess of 40 at a rate not less than one and one half times the regular rate at which he is employed.

14. The Defendants knew or should have known that their failure to pay Lizak overtime premiums in accordance with the statute was unlawful.

15. By knowingly failing to pay overtime to Lizak for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per week, the Defendants willfully violated the FLSA.

16. As a result of the Defendants' willful actions, Lizak has suffered loss of overtime premium wages required to be paid under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 207(a)(1).

17. Pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), the Defendants are liable to Lizak for three years of unpaid overtime payments, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Lizak respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Great Masonry, Inc., and Krzysztof Mendys, jointly and severally, as follows:

- (1) Finding that they willfully violated the FLSA by failing to pay him overtime premiums for hours worked in excess of 40 a week;
- (2) Ordering them to pay him the unpaid overtime compensation, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, and pre-judgment interest;
- (3) Ordering them to pay his attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount set by the Court; and
- (4) Granting such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II

**FAILURE TO PAY WAGES IN VIOLATION
OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

18. Lizak incorporates by reference the allegations of ¶¶ 1-7 and 10 as though set forth in their entirety.

19. Section 206(a) of the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 206(a), requires employers to pay wages to employees performing work in any workweek.

20. By knowingly failing to pay wages to Lizak for work he performed from approximately January 13 through 18, 2008, the Defendants willfully violated the FLSA.

21. Pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), the Defendants are liable to Lizak for the unpaid wages, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Lizak respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Great Masonry, Inc., and Krzysztof Mendys, jointly and severally, as follows:

- (1) Finding that they willfully violated the FLSA by failing to pay him wages as required by the statute;
- (2) Ordering them to pay him the unpaid wages, an additional equal amount as liquidated damages, and pre-judgment interest;
- (3) Ordering them to pay his attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount set by the Court; and
- (4) Granting such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT III

**RETALIATORY DISCHARGE IN VIOLATION
OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT**

22. Lizak incorporates by reference the allegations of ¶¶1-7 and 10-11 as though set forth in their entirety.

23. Discharging an employee in retaliation for objecting to denying him his rights under the FLSA violates Section 215(a)(3) of FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 215(a)(3).

24. As a result of the Defendants' unlawful discharge of Lizak, Lizak has suffered and continues to suffer the loss of income.

25. Pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), the Defendants are liable to Lizak for the wages he has lost, and will continue to lose, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs, and are also required to reinstate him.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Lizak respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Great Masonry, Inc., and Krzysztof Mendys, jointly and severally, as follows:

- (1) Finding that they discharged him in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act;
- (2) Ordering them to pay him all lost wages from the time of the discharge until they offer him reinstatement, an equal amount as liquidated damages, and pre-judgment interest;
- (3) Ordering them to reinstate him to the position from which they unlawfully terminated him;
- (4) Ordering them to pay his attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount set by the Court; and

- (5) Granting such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT IV

**FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME PREMIUMS IN
VIOLATION OF ILLINOIS MINIMUM WAGE LAW**

26. Lizak incorporates by reference the allegations of ¶¶ 1-9 as though set forth in their entirety.

27. Section 4a of the IMWL, § 820 ILCS 105/4a(1), requires employers to compensate employees for work performed in excess of 40 hours per week at a rate of no less than one and a half times the regular rate.

28. By failing to pay Lizak overtime premiums for hours worked in excess of 40 per week, the Defendants willfully violated Section 4a of the IMWL.

29. Pursuant to the IMWL, § 820 ILCS 105/12, the Defendants are liable to Lizak for three years' unpaid overtime payments, additional damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Lizak respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Great Masonry, Inc., and Krzysztof Mendys, jointly and severally, as follows:

- (1) Finding that they willfully violated the IMWL by failing to pay him overtime premiums as required by the statute for hours worked in excess of 40;
- (2) Ordering them to pay him the unpaid overtime compensation, damages of 2% a month on all such underpayments, and pre-judgment interest;
- (3) Ordering them to pay his attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount set by the Court; and

- (4) Granting such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT V

**FAILURE TO PAY WAGES IN VIOLATION
OF ILLINOIS MINIMUM WAGE LAW**

30. Lizak incorporates by reference the allegations of ¶¶ 1-7 and 10 as though set forth in their entirety.

31. Section 4 of the IMWL, § 820 ILCS 105/4, requires employers to pay wages to employees performing work in any workweek.

32. By knowingly failing to pay wages to Lizak for work he performed from approximately January 13 through January 18, 2008, the Defendants willfully violated the IMWL.

33. Pursuant to IMWL, § 820 ILCS 105/12, the Defendants are liable to Lizak for the unpaid wages, additional damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Lizak respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Great Masonry, Inc., and Krzysztof Mendys, jointly and severally, as follows:

- (1) Finding that they willfully violated the IMWL by failing to pay him wages as required by the statute;
- (2) Ordering them to pay him the unpaid wages, additional damages of 2% a month, and pre-judgment interest;
- (3) Ordering them to pay his attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount set by the Court; and
- (4) Granting such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT VI

**RETALIATORY DISCHARGE IN
VIOLATION OF ILLINOIS COMMON LAW**

34. Lizak incorporates by reference the allegations of ¶¶ 1-7 and 10-11 as though set forth in their entirety.

35. The Defendants' discharge of Lizak in retaliation for his complaining about and refusing to accept their failure to pay him and other employees their wages violates the public policy of Illinois.

36. As a result of the Defendants' unlawful discharge of Lizak, Lizak has suffered and continues to suffer the loss of income.

37. The Defendants are liable to Lizak for his lost wages, compensatory damages, and punitive damages, and have an obligation to offer him reinstatement.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Lizak respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Great Masonry, Inc., and Krzysztof Mendys, jointly and severally, as follows:

- (1) Finding them liable for retaliatory discharge;
- (2) Ordering them to pay him all lost wages from the time of the discharge until they offer him reinstatement, along with pre-judgment interest;
- (3) Ordering them to pay him compensatory damages;
- (4) Ordering them to pay him punitive damages;
- (5) Ordering them to reinstate him to the position from which they unlawfully terminated him;

- (6) Ordering them to pay his attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount set by the Court; and
- (7) Granting such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

COUNT VII

**FAILURE TO IDENTIFY AND CLASSIFY LIZAK
PROPERLY AS AN EMPLOYEE IN VIOLATION OF
ILLINOIS EMPLOYEE CLASSIFICATION ACT**

38. Lizak incorporates by reference the allegations of ¶¶1-7 as though set forth in their entirety.

39. At all relevant times, Lizak's work and performances was under the direction of the Company.

40. Lizak's services for the Company were never outside the usual course of services that the Company's other employees provide.

41. Lizak was never engaged in any independently established trade or business in connection with his work for the Company.

42. Lizak was never a sole proprietor or part of a partnership in connection with his work for the Company.

43. The Company nonetheless improperly treated Lizak as an independent contractor and as a result, the Company paid Lizak straight pay in the form of cash without taking the required payroll deductions or making the required contribution on his behalf for his work in January 2008.

44. By failing to identify and classify Lizak properly as an employee, the Company violated Section 20 of the IECA, § 820 ILCS 185/20.

45. Pursuant to Section 60 of the IECA, § 820 ILCS 185/60, the Company is liable to Lizak for the amount of wages or other compensation he lost as a result of the misclassification, an equal amount in liquidated damages, compensatory damages, \$500.00 for each violation, and attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Lizak respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment against Great Masonry, Inc., as follows:

- (1) Finding that it violated the IECA;
- (2) Ordering it to pay him all lost wages and other compensation and an equal amount as liquidated damages;
- (3) Ordering it to pay him compensatory damages;
- (4) Ordering it to pay him \$500.00 for each violation;
- (5) Ordering it to pay his attorneys' fees and costs, in an amount set by the Court; and
- (6) Granting such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Barry M. Bennett
LaKisha M. Kinsey-Sallis
DOWD, BLOCH & BENNETT
8 South Michigan Avenue, 19th Floor
Chicago, IL 60603
(312) 372-1361

/s/ Barry M. Bennett
One of Plaintiff's Attorneys

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

BOGDAN LIZAK,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	No. 08 C 1930
)	
v.)	Judge Coar
)	
GREAT MASONRY, INC., and)	Magistrate Judge Nolan
KRZYSZTOF MENDYS,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

CONSENT TO FILING OF FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

In accord with Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(2), I consent on behalf of the defendants to the filing by plaintiff of its first amended complaint, a draft copy of which I have reviewed. This consent notwithstanding, defendants retain all defenses and/or objections to the lawsuit.

/s/ John J. Lynch
Attorney for Defendants

August 7, 2008

John J. Lynch
James L. Kopecky, P.C.
190 S. LaSalle, Suite 850-A
Chicago, IL 60603

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I will file the attached notice of filing, first amended complaint, and consent to filing through the electronic case filing system, on August 7, 2008, which will result in service of these documents on the following attorney:

John J. Lynch, Esq.
James L. Kopecky, P.C.
190 S. LaSalle, Suite 850-A
Chicago, IL 60603

/s/ Barry M. Bennett